



# VIRGINIA ENVIRONMENTAL LAW JOURNAL

## EXAMPLE GRID

FN #	Quick Title of Source	Substantiated?	Pincite Correction?	Notes
3.01		Yes	None	We should really break footnote 3 into several footnotes.
3.02	Dworkin, Law's Empire	Yes	115-18	
3.03	The Copyright Act	No, but it is in the Patent Act.	§290 (c)(10)(i)	The order of signals in footnote 3.02-3.03 needs correcting.
4.01		Well, it didn't really hone in to the proposition that fracking was making people's tap water combust	None	

Explanations				
<p>"x.xx" refers to the footnote number. "x.xx" refers to the order in which the source appears in the footnote. This numbering convention must also correspond to the file name of your uploaded substantiated source.</p>	<p>If a footnote has multiple sources, please refer to your sub-footnote source with your quickest and clearest nickname. This is necessary to ensure that your AEs keep track of multiple sources in long footnotes.</p>	<p>Indicate whether the source supports the ATL proposition by writing "Yes," "No," or an explanation if it's not clearly either.</p> <p>If "No," please recommend a new alternative source.</p>	<p>Pincites are the specific pages that support the proposition.</p> <p>If the source</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>does not have a pincite, provide one.</i></li> <li>• <i>does not refer to the correct page, correct the pincite.</i></li> </ul> <p><i>If you had to recommend a new source, please provide the pincite.</i></p>	<p>Use your best judgment to bring any issues to the attention of your AEs.</p>